2-local isometries and the reflexivity property of certain spaces of continuous maps

Hiroko Meguro,
Master's Program in Fundamental Sciences
Graduate School of Science and Technology,
Niigata University

This work was supported by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, a Joint Usage/Research Center located in Kyoto University.

1 Introduction

The studies about local maps were started by Larson, Kadison and Sourour. In 1988, Larson[9] studied local automorphisms of Banach algebra and obtained the first results concerning to local maps. In 1990, Kadison[8] exhibited the results concerning to local derivations on von neumann algebras. Larson and Sourour[10] got the results of local derivations of $B(X)$ for a Banach space X.

The studies of 2-local maps were initiated by Šemrl[13]. He got the results about 2-local automorphisms and 2-local derivations in 1997. Inspired by his results, Molnár[12] started the sudies about 2-local isometries in 2002. He considered the group of all surjective complex linear isometries. If X is locally compact Hausdorrf space, Győry[3] studies that 2-local isometries are complex linear isometries on the ste of all continuous functions vanishing at infinity $C_0(X)$. Hatori, Miura, Oka and Takagi[4] got the results in the case of the uniform algebras in 2007. $C^{(n)}[0,1]$ denotes the set of all n-times continuously differentiable functions on $[0,1]$ with $\|f\|_C = \sup_{t\in[0,1]} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |f^{(k)}(t)|/k!$. In 2018, Kawamura, Koshimizu and Miura[7] studied about $C^{(n)}[0,1]$. They got the results that 2-local isometries are surjective complex linear isometries on each space. In recent years, the case of surjective real linear isometries are studied. Hosseini[5] studied $C^{(n)}[0,1]$ with $\|f\|_n = \max\{|f(0)|, |f'(0)|, |f^{(2)}(0)|, \cdots, |f^{(n-1)}(0)|, \|f^{(n)}\|_\infty\}$ in 2017. The results about 2-local isometries in the case of real linear isometries is fewer than the case of complex linear isometries. I get the result about surjective real linear isometries. I will prove it.

2 Fundamental definitions

In this paper, $\mathbb{R}$ stands for the set of all real numbers. The symbol $\mathbb{C}$ stands for all complex numbers.

Definition 2.1 (isometry)**.** *Let $(X, d_X), (Y, d_Y)$ be metric spaces. Let T be a map X into Y. If $d_X(x_1, x_2) = d_Y(T(x_1), T(x_2))$ for all points $x_1, x_2 \in X$, then T is called an isometry.*

Note that T is injective if T is an isometry .

Definition 2.2. *Let X be a Banach space. The set of all surjective complex linear isometries on X is denoted by $Iso_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$. The set of all surjective real linear isometries on X is denoted by $Iso_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$.*

Definition 2.3 (2-local isometry)**.** *Let X be a Banach space. Let T be a map on X. If for each pair of elements $f, g \in X$ there exists $T_{f,g} \in Iso_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ (or $\in Iso_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$) such that $T_{f,g}(f) = T(f)$ and $T_{f,g}(g) = T(g)$ depending on f and g, then T is called a 2-local isometry .*

We note that no continuity, surjectivity nor linearity are assumed for T.

Definition 2.4. *Let $C[0,1]$ denote the set of all complex-valued functions f on the closed interval endowed with the supremum norm*

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup\{|f(t)| : t \in [0,1]\}.$$

Then $(C[0,1], \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is a Banach algebra.

Definition 2.5 (Choquet boundary)**.** *Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Let A be a uniform algebra on X. Define a subset E of X by $E = \{t \in X : f(x) = 1\}$ for some $f \in A$. Then E is called a peak set for A. For every $x \in X$, E_α*

is a peak set for A. If $\{x\} = \bigcap_\alpha E_\alpha$*, x is called a weak peak point of A. Define* $Ch(A)$ *by* $Ch(A) = \{x \in X : x \text{ is a weak peak point for } A\}$*.Then* $Ch(A)$ *is called the Choquet boundary of A.*

Definition 2.6 (reflexivity)**.** *Let X be a Banach space. We say that* $Iso_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ *is 2-local reflexive if every 2-local isometry is in* $Iso_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$*.*

3 Surjective real linear isometries on $C[0,1]$

In this section, we consider the form of surjective real linear isometries (Theorem 3.1) . This theorem was essentially proved by Ellis[2] or Miura[11]. We note that the Chouque boungary and the Shilov boudary of $C[0,1]$ corresponds to the closed interval $[0,1]$.

Theorem 3.1. *A map T is a surjective real linear isometry on* $C[0,1]$ *if and only if there exist a continuous function T(1) :* $[0,1] \to \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ *and a homeomorphism* $\varphi : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ *such that one of the following equalities*

$$\begin{cases} T(f)(t) = T(1) f \circ \varphi(t) & (f \in C[0,1], t \in [0,1]) \\ T(f)(t) = T(1)\overline{f \circ \varphi(t)} & (f \in C[0,1], t \in [0,1]). \end{cases}$$

Proof. First, we assume that a map $T : C[0,1] \to C[0,1]$ is a surjective real linear isometry on $C[0,1]$. The Couquet boundary of $C[0,1]$ coincides with the closed interval $[0,1]$. By a theorem of Miura[11] and the connectivity of $[0,1]$, one of the following equalities

$$\begin{cases} Tf(t) = T(1) f \circ \varphi(t) & (f \in C[0,1], t \in [0,1]) \\ Tf(t) = T(1)\overline{f \circ \varphi(t)} & (f \in C[0,1], t \in [0,1]). \end{cases}$$

Next, we assume that there exist a continuous function T(1) : $[0,1] \to \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ and a homeomorphism $\varphi : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ such that one of the following equalities

$$\begin{cases} T(f)(t) = T(1) f \circ \varphi(t) & (f \in C[0,1], t \in [0,1]) \\ T(f)(t) = T(1)\overline{f \circ \varphi(t)} & (f \in C[0,1], t \in [0,1]). \end{cases}$$

We infer that T is a surjectve real linear isometry on $C[0,1]$.

$\square$

4 2-local isometries in $C[0,1]$

The studies about 2-local isometries were started by Molnár[12]. If there exists $T_{f,g} \in Iso_{\mathbb{R}}(C[0,1])$ such that $Tf = T_{f,g}f$ and $Tg = T_{f,g}g$ for every pair of elements $f, g \in C[0,1]$, then T is called 2-local isometry.

The following is the main result in this paper.

Theorem 4.1. *Let T be a 2-local isometry on $C[0,1]$. Then T is a 2-local isometry. Thus $Iso_{\mathbb{R}}(C[0,1])$ is 2-local reflexive.*

To prove Theorem 4.1, we can reduce the case of $T(1) = 1$ (Proposition 4.1). When we assume that $T(1) = 1$, for every element $f \in C[0,1]$ there exists an isometry $T_{1,f}$ such that $T(1) = T_{1,f}(1)$. Since $T(1) = 1$, we get $T_{1,f}(1) = 1$. By Theorem 3.1, T satisfies one of the following equalities

$$\begin{cases} Tf(t) = T_{1,f}f(t) = T_{1,f}(1)f \circ \varphi_{1,f}(t) = f \circ \varphi_{1,f}(t) & (f \in C[0,1], t \in [0,1]) \\ Tf(t) = T_{1f}f(t) = T_{1,f}(1)\overline{f \circ \varphi_{1,f}(t)} = \overline{f \circ \varphi_{1,f}(t)} & (f \in C[0,1], t \in [0,1]), \end{cases}$$

where $\varphi_{1,f}$ is a homeomorphism. When we put t_0 such that $\varphi_{1,f}(t) = t_0$, one of the following equalities

$$\begin{cases} Tf(t) = f(t_0) \\ Tf(t) = \overline{f(t_0)}. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 4.1. *Let T be a 2-local isometry on $C[0,1]$. When $T(1) = 1$, T is a 2-local isometry.*

Proof. Let Id be the identity map of $C[0,1]$. Since T is a 2-local isometry, for every $f \in C[0,1]$ there exists $T_{f,Id} \in Iso_{\mathbb{R}}(C[0,1])$ such that $T(f) = T_{f,Id}(f)$ and $TId = T_{f,Id}(Id)$, also there exists $T_{1,Id} \in Iso_{\mathbb{R}}(C[0,1])$ such that $T(1) = T_{1,Id}(1)$ and $T(Id) = T_{1,Id}(Id)$. By Theorem 3.1, $T_{f,Id}$ and $T_{1,Id}$ are represented by

$$\begin{cases} T_{f,Id}g(t) = T_{f,Id}(1)g \circ \varphi_{f,Id}(t) & (g \in C[0,1], t \in [0,1]) \\ \text{or} \\ T_{f,Id}g(t) = T_{f,Id}(1)\overline{g \circ \varphi_{f,Id}(t)} & (g \in C[0,1], t \in [0,1]) \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{cases} T_{1,Id}g(t) = T_{1,Id}(1)g \circ \varphi_{1,Id}(t) & (g \in C[0,1], t \in [0,1]) \\ \text{or} & \\ T_{1,Id}g(t) = T_{1,Id}(1)\overline{g \circ \varphi_{1,Id}(t)} & (g \in C[0,1], t \in [0,1]), \end{cases}$$

where $\varphi_{f,Id}$ and $\varphi_{1,Id}$ are homeomorphisms on $[0,1]$ respectively. Since $T_{1,Id}(1) = T(1) = 1$, $T_{1,Id}$ is represented by

$$\begin{cases} T_{1,Id}g(t) = g \circ \varphi_{1,Id}(t) & (g \in C[0,1], t \in [0,1]) \\ \text{or} & \\ T_{1,Id}g(t) = \overline{g \circ \varphi_{1,Id}(t)} & (g \in C[0,1], t \in [0,1]). \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

We define a set E_{t_0f} by $E_{t_0f} = \left\{ t \in [0,1] : \begin{array}{c} Tf(t) = f(t_0) \\ Tf(t) = \overline{f(t_0)} \end{array} \right\}$ for every $f \in C[0,1]$, $t_0 \in [0,1]$. Now, E_{t_0f} is a subset of $[0,1]$. By the definition of E_{t_0f}, E_{t_0Id} is represented by $E_{t_0Id} = \{t \in [0,1] : T(Id)(t) = Id(t_0)\}$. Since $TId = T_{1,Id}Id$ and (2), we get

$$TId = T_{1,Id}Id = Id \circ \varphi_{1,Id} = \varphi_{1,Id}. \tag{3}$$

We get $E_{t_0Id} = \{t \in [0,1] : \varphi_{1,Id}(t) = t_0\}$ since (3) and $Id(t_0) = t_0$. Since $\varphi_{1,Id}$ is a homeomorphism, E_{t_0Id} is a singleton.

We take $b_{t_0} \in [0,1]$ such that $\{b_{t_0}\} = E_{t_0Id}$. We have $TId(b_{t_0}) = Id(t_0) = t_0$ by $b_{t_0} \in E_{t_0Id}$ and the definition of E_{t_0Id}. Therefore we obtain

$$\varphi_{1,Id}(b_{t_0}) = t_0 \tag{4}$$

by (3). Forthermore we have

$$\begin{aligned} TId(b_{t_0}) &= T_{f,Id}Id(b_{t_0}) \\ &= T_{f,Id}(1)Id \circ \varphi_{f,Id}(b_{t_0}) \\ &= T_{f,Id}(1)\varphi_{f,Id}(b_{t_0}) \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

by $TId = T_{f,Id}Id$ and (1). By (5) and $T(Id)(b_{t_0}) = t_0$, we have $T_{f,Id}(1)\varphi_{f,Id}(b_{t_0}) = t_0$. Since $\varphi_{f,Id}(b_{t_0})$ is in $[0,1]$ and t_0 is in $[0,1]$, $T_{fId}(1)(b_{t_0})$ is a real number which is a scalar of modulars 1. we get

$$T_{f,Id}(1)(b_{t_0}) = 1. \tag{6}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\varphi_{f,Id}(b_{t_0}) = t_0. \tag{7}$$

We consider $E_{t_0 f} = \left\{ t \in [0,1] : \begin{array}{c} Tf(t) = f(t_0) \\ Tf(t) = \overline{f(t_0)} \end{array} \right\}$ for every $f \in C[0,1]$. Since $Tf = T_{f,Id}f$ and (1), we get

$$\begin{cases} Tf(b_{t_0}) = T_{f,Id}(1)(b_{t_0}) f \circ \varphi_{f,Id}(b_{t_0}) \\ Tf(b_{t_0}) = T_{f,Id}(1)(b_{t_0}) \overline{f \circ \varphi_{f,Id}(b_{t_0})}. \end{cases}$$

By (6), we have

$$\begin{cases} Tf(b_{t_0}) = f \circ \varphi_{f,Id}(b_{t_0}) \\ Tf(b_{t_0}) = \overline{f \circ \varphi_{f,Id}(b_{t_0})}. \end{cases}$$

By (7), we have

$$\begin{cases} Tf(b_{t_0}) = f(t_0) \\ Tf(b_{t_0}) = \overline{f(t_0)}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore b_{t_0} is an element of $E_{t_0 f}$. Since f is an arbitrary element of $C[0,1]$, we get $E_{t_0 Id} = \{b_{t_0}\} = \bigcap_{f \in C[0,1]} E_{t_0 f}$.

Let ψ be a map $[0,1]$ into $[0,1]$ such that $\{\psi(t_0)\} = \bigcap_{f \in C[0,1]} E_{t_0 f}$. Since $\{b_{t_0}\} = \bigcap_{f \in C[0,1]} E_{t_0 f}$, we get $\psi(t_0) = b_{t_0}$. By $TId = T_{1,Id}Id$ and (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} TId(\psi(t_0)) &= T_{1,Id}Id(\psi(t_0)) \\ &= Id\varphi_{1,Id}(\psi(t_0)) \\ &= \varphi_{1,Id}(\psi(t_0)) \\ &= \varphi_{1,Id}(b_{t_0}). \end{aligned}$$

By (4), we get

$$TId(\psi(t_0)) = t_0. \tag{8}$$

We will prove that a map ψ is bijective. Let $x \in [0,1]$ be $x = \varphi_{1,Id}(y)$ for every $y \in [0,1]$. We obtain $b_{\varphi_{1,Id}(y)} = \psi(\varphi_{1,Id}(y)) \in E_{\varphi_{1,Id}(y) Id}$. We get $TId = \varphi_{1,Id}$ by (3). By $TId = \varphi_{1,Id}$ and (8), we get $\varphi_{1,Id}(\psi(\varphi_{1,Id}(y))) = TId(\psi(\varphi_{1,Id}(y))) = \varphi_{1,Id}(y)$. Since $\varphi_{1,Id}$ is a homeomorphism, we get $\psi(\varphi_{1,Id}(y)) = y$. By $x = \varphi_{1,Id}(y)$, y is represented by $\psi(x) = y$. Therefore ψ is surjective.

We take $t_1,\ t_2 \in [0,1]$ and assume that $t_1 \neq t_2$. We notice $\psi(t_1) = b_{t_1} \in E_{t_1 f}$ and $\psi(t_2) = b_{t_2} \in E_{t_2 f} \quad (f \in C[0,1])$. We get $TId(\psi(t_1)) = \varphi_{1,Id}(\psi(t_1))$ by (3). Since we have $TId(\psi(t_1)) = t_1$ by(8), we get $\varphi_{1,Id}(\psi(t_1)) = t_1$. In the same way, we get $\varphi_{1,Id}\psi(t_2) = t_2$. By the assumption $t_1 \neq t_2$, we get $\varphi_{1,Id}(\psi(t_1)) \neq \varphi_{1,Id}(\psi(t_2))$. We obtain $\psi(t_1) \neq \psi(t_2)$. Therefore ψ is injective.

By (4) and (7), we get $\varphi_{1,Id}(b_{t_0}) = \varphi_{f,Id}(b_{t_0})$. Since $b_{t_0} = \psi(t_0) \quad (t_0 \in [0,1])$, we have $\varphi_{1,Id}(\psi(t_0)) = \varphi_{f,Id}(\psi(t_0))$. Since ψ is a bijection, for every $t \in [0,1]$ we represent $\varphi_{1,Id}(t) = \varphi_{f,Id}(t)$. We get

$$\varphi_{1,Id} = \varphi_{f,Id}. \tag{9}$$

Let i be a constant function : $[0,1] \to i$. A map T is represented by

$$\begin{cases} Ti(\psi(t_0)) = i(t_0) = i \\ \text{or} \\ Ti(\psi(t_0)) = \overline{i(t_0)} = -i \end{cases}$$

for every $t_0 \in [0,1]$. Since ψ is bijective and $[0,1]$ is connected, T satisfies either of the cases
(a)T satisfies $Ti = i$ for every $t \in [0,1]$
or
(b)T satisfies $Ti = -i$ for every $t \in [0,1]$.

First, we consider the case (a). We get

$$\begin{aligned} TId &= T_{f,Id}(1) Id \circ \varphi_{f,Id} \\ &= T_{f,Id}(1) \varphi_{f,Id} \end{aligned}$$

for the identity map Id of $C[0,1]$. By the above equation and (3), we get $\varphi_{1,Id} = T_{f,Id}(1)\varphi_{f,Id}$. By (9), we get $T_{f,Id}(1) = 1$. Since (9) and $T_{f,Id}(1) = 1$, and we get

$$\begin{aligned} Tf &= T_{f,Id}(1) f \circ \varphi_{fId} \\ &= f \circ \varphi_{f,Id} \\ &= f \circ \varphi_{,1Id}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, in the case (a), T is represented by $Tf = f \circ \varphi_{1,Id}$ for every $f \in C[0,1]$. Next, we consider the case (b). Let U be a map : $C[0,1] \to C[0,1]$ such that $U = \overline{T}$. We notice U is a 2-local isometry. For the constant functions $1, i \in C[0,1]$ we have $U(1) = \overline{T(1)} = 1$ and $U(i) = \overline{T(i)} = \overline{-i} = i$. we apply the case (a) to U, we get $\overline{Tf} = Uf = f \circ \varphi_{1,Id}$. So we get $Tf = \overline{f \circ \varphi_{1,Id}}$. Therefore when $T(1) = 1$, one of the following equalities

$$\begin{cases} Tf(t) = f\varphi_{1,Id}(t) & (f \in C[0,1], t \in [0,1]) \\ Tf(t) = \overline{f\varphi_{1,Id}(t)} & (f \in C[0,1], t \in [0,1]). \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 3.1, T is a surjective real linear isometry on $C[0,1]$. $\square$

Proposition 4.2. *Let T be a 2-local isometry on $C[0,1]$. Then T satisfies* $|T(1)(t)| = 1 \quad (t \in [0,1])$.

Proof. Since T is a 2-local isoetry, for every $f \in C[0,1]$ there exists $T_{f,1} \in Iso_{\mathbb{R}}(C[0,1])$ such that $T_{f,1}(f) = T(f)$ and $T_{f,1}(1) = T(1)$. Since $T_{f,1}$ is an element of $Iso_{\mathbb{R}}(C[0,1])$, there exists $T_{f,1}(1)$ such that $|T_{f,1}(1)| = 1$. By $T_{f,1}(1) = T(1)$, there exists $T(1)$ such that $|T(1)(t)| = 1$ $(t \in [0,1])$. □

Proposition 4.3. *Let T be a 2-local isometry on $C[0,1]$. Define a map S by $S = \overline{T(1)}T$. Then S is a 2-local isometry on $C[0,1]$ such that $S(1) = 1$.*

Proof. Since T is a 2-local isometry, for every pair of elements $f, g \in C[0,1]$ there exist $T_{f,g} \in Iso_{\mathbb{R}}(C[0,1])$ such that $T_{f,g}f = Tf$ and $T_{f,g}g = Tg$. Define a map $S_{f,g}$ by $S_{f,g} = \overline{T(1)}T_{f,g}$. Since $T_{f,g}$ is a real linear isometry, we get that for every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $u, v \in C[0,1]$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{f,g}(\alpha u + \beta v) &= \overline{T(1)}T_{f,g}(\alpha u + \beta v) \\
&= \overline{T(1)}(\alpha T_{f,g}(u) + \beta T_{f,g}(v)) \\
&= \alpha\overline{T(1)}T_{f,g}(u) + \beta\overline{T(1)}T_{f,g}(v)) \\
&= \alpha S_{f,g}(u) + \beta S_{f,g}(v).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $S_{f,g}$ is a real linear map. We get that for every $u \in C[0,1]$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S_{f,g}(u)\|_\infty &= \|\overline{T(1)}T_{f,g}(u)\|_\infty \\
&= \|T_{f,g}(u)\|_\infty \\
&= \|u\|_\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

So $S_{f,g}$ is an isometry. Since $T_{f,g}$ is a surjective real linear isometry on $C[0,1]$, $T_{f,g}$ is bijective. There exists a map $T_{f,g}^{-1}$ which is an inverse of $T_{f,g}$. Define a map v by $v = T_{f,g}^{-1}T(1)u$ for every $u \in C[0,1]$, then v is an element of $C[0,1]$. We get $S_{f,g}(v) = \overline{T(1)}T_{f,g}T_{f,g}^{-1}T(1)u = u$. We notice $S_{f,g}$ is surjentive. Therefore $S_{f,g}$ is a surjective real linear isometry on $C[0,1]$. By the assumption, $S_{f,g} = \overline{T(1)}T_{f,g}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{f,g}f &= \overline{T(1)}T_{f,g}f \\
&= \overline{T(1)}Tf \\
&= Sf.
\end{aligned}$$

By the same way, we get $S_{f,g}g = Sg$. Therefore S is a 2-local isometry. For the constant function $1 \in C[0,1]$ we get $S(1) = \overline{T(1)}T(1) = 1$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let S be a map $S = \overline{T(1)}T$. By Proposition 4.3, S is a 2-local isometry of $C[0,1]$ such that $S(1) = 1$. We apply Proposition 4.1 to S, S satisfies that one of the following equalities

$$\begin{cases} Sf(t) = f \circ \varphi(t) & (t \in [0,1]) \\ Sf(t) = \overline{f \circ \varphi(t)} & (t \in [0,1]), \end{cases}$$

where φ is a homeomorphism on $[0,1]$. Since $S = \overline{T(1)}T$, we get $T(1)S = T(1)\overline{T(1)}T = T$. Therefore T satisfies that one of the following equalities

$$\begin{cases} Tf(t) = T(1)f \circ \varphi(t) & (f \in C[0,1], t \in [0,1]) \\ Tf(t) = T(1)\overline{f \circ \varphi(t)} & (f \in C[0,1], t \in [0,1]). \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 3.1, T is a surjective real linear isometry. Therefore $Iso_{\mathbb{R}}(C[0,1])$ is 2-local reflexive. $\square$

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank professor O. Hatori. Without his guidance and persistent help this paper would not have been possible.

References

[1] J. B. Conway, A Course in Functional Analysis, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 96. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985. xiv+404 pp. ISBN: 0-387-96042-2 46-01 (47-01) , Springer Sicense+Business Media.LLC.

[2] A. J. Ellis, *Real characterizations of function algebras amongst function spaces*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 1990, 22(4), 381-385.

[3] M. Győry, *2-local isometries of* $C_0(X)$, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 67 (2001), no. 3-4, 735-746.

[4] O. Hatori, T. Miura, H. Oka and H. Takagi, *2-Local Isometries and 2-Local Automorphisms on Uniform Algebras*, Int. Math. Forum 2 (2007), no. 49-52, 2491-2502.

[5] M. Hosseini, *Generalized 2-Local Isometries of Spaces of Continuously Differentiable Functions*, Quaest. Math. 40 (2017), no. 8, 1003-1014

[6] A. Jimeńez-Vargas and M. Villegas-Vllecillos, *2-Local Isometries on Spaces of Lipschitz Functions*, Canad. Math. Bull. Vol 54(4), 2011 pp. 680-692.

[7] K. Kawamura, H. Koshimizu and T. Miura, *2-local isometries on* $C^{(n)}([0,1])$, preprint 2018

[8] R. V. Kadison, *Local derivations*, J. Algebra, 130 (1990), 494-509.

[9] D. R. Larson, *Reflexivity, algebraic reflexivity and interpolation*, Amer. J. Math. 110 (1988), 283-299.

[10] D. R. Larson and A. R. Sourour, *Local derivations and local automorphisms of B(X)*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 51, Part 2, Providence, Rhode Island 1990, pp. 187-194.

[11] T. Miura, *Real-linear isometries between function algebras*, Cent Eur. J. Math, 9(4), 2011,778-788.

[12] L. Molnár, *2-local isometries of some operator algebras*. Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. 45(2002), no. 2, 349-352.

[13] P. Šemrl, *Local automorphisms and derivations on B(H)*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125(1997), no. 9, 2677-2680.